

Date: Tue, 28 Sep 93 04:30:12 PDT  
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #351  
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest                      Tue, 28 Sep 93                      Volume 93 : Issue    351

Today's Topics:

                    Codeless Tech Debate (Canadian Perspective)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

-----

Date: Tue, 28 Sep 1993 00:43:04 GMT  
From: tribune.usask.ca!mizar.cc.umanitoba.ca!mona.muug.mb.ca!  
bwalzer@decwrl.dec.com  
Subject: Codeless Tech Debate (Canadian Perspective)  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In <26SEP93.22400097@skyfox> a01muma@skyfox writes:

>            I personally think that amateurs should be required to learn Morse  
>Code before making idiots of themselves on the HF bands. I completely  
>agree with Pat. In Canada the highest requirement is 12 wpm, but you have  
>no HF privileges without Morse Code. But, Morse Code is probably the  
>[...]  
>a few days. I think that the US should change their band privilege system  
>and only allow people with Morse Code to operate on the HF bands, and keep  
>the foul-mouthed idiots on CB or at least local repeaters.

I have no strong feelings on the matter, but I can't resist commenting.  
After I got my Canadian Advanced Nocode licence I bought a HF receiver at a  
fleamarket to find out what I was missing. I listened to both the amateur  
bands and the CB bands (legitimate and not). This isn't statistically valid  
of course but I heard a lot more bad language and inane drivel in the

amateur allocations. Having discovered the world below 30MHz I then sold the receiver and have since not given another thought to 30MHz-minus. The above message in conjunction with the pointless ongoing code debate produced a bizzare thought.

Now that I've proved to myself that knowledge of morse code causes bad operating I propose that we ban anyone with a demonstrated knowledge of morse from any frequencies above 30MHz. I know most of them were forced to learn the code but we just can't take the chance. Let's keep the riff-raff off of our bands. Support my proposal. Keep 30MHz-plus clean!

Oh ... :-)

-----  
Bruce Walzer |Voice: (204) 783-4983  
Winnipeg MB |Internet: bwalzer@mona.muug.mb.ca  
Canada |AmRadio: VE4XOR  
-----

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #351  
\*\*\*\*\*